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Abstract

Let $D$ be the punctured unit disk. It is easy to see that no pair $x,y$ in $D$ can cover $D$ in the sense that $D$ cannot be contained in the union of the unit disks centred at $x$ and $y$. With this fact in mind, let $V_n = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\}$, where $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ are random points sampled independently from a uniform distribution on $D$. We prove that, with asymptotic probability one, there are two points in $V_n$ that cover all of $V_n$.
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1. Introduction

For any $r > 0$, and any $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let $D_r(p)$ be the open (Euclidean) disk in $\mathbb{R}^2$ that is centered at $p$ and has radius $r$. Let $D$ be the punctured unit disk that is centered at the origin $o$, i.e. $D = D_1(o) - \{o\}$. If $S$ and $P$ are subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$, we say “$P$ covers $S$” if $S \subseteq \bigcup_{p \in P} D_1(p)$. (This use of the word “cover” comes from combinatorics and is obviously related but not identical to the usual topological meaning.)

Now let $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ be random points, chosen independently from a uniform distribution on a punctured unit disk $D$, and let $V_n = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\}$. We prove that, with
asymptotic probability one, $V_n$ is covered by one of its two-member subsets. This result is surprising in light of the following three simple geometric observations. In short, the observations below say that three points of $D$ are needed to cover $D$.

**Observation 1.** For $x \in D$, we have $D \not\subseteq D_1(x)$.

The second observation appears in [8]:

**Observation 2.** If $x, y \in D$, then $D \not\subseteq D_1(x) \cup D_1(y)$.

For $k = 0, 1, 2$, let $p_k = \frac{1}{2}(\cos(\frac{2\pi k}{3}), \sin(\frac{2\pi k}{3}))$. Choose a positive number $\epsilon < 1 - \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}$, and let $\rho = 1 - \epsilon$. Then

**Observation 3.** $D \subseteq D_\rho(p_1) \cup D_\rho(p_2) \cup D_\rho(p_3)$.

Note that there is a bit of “slack” in Observation 3: we have used disks of radius strictly less than one.

The points $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ “fill out” all of $D$, i.e. with probability one, the infinite set $\{X_1, X_2, \ldots\}$ is dense in $D$. So, with probability one, $o$, the center of the disk, is a limit point of the set $\{X_1, X_2, \ldots\}$. Since $D \subseteq D_1(o)$, it is reasonable to ask whether, for large $n$, $V_n \subseteq D_1(X_i)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. In section 2, we prove that, with high probability, the answer is no: one point does not suffice. On the other hand, it follows easily from Observation 3 that, with asymptotic probability one, three points from $V_n$ will suffice to cover $V_n$. Briefly: with asymptotic probability 1, the small disks $D_\epsilon(p_k)$ each contains at least one random point $X_{ik}$. But then $D_1(X_{ik})$ contains the entire sector $\frac{(2k-1)\pi}{3} \leq \theta \leq \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{6}$, and $D \subseteq D_1(X_{i_1}) \cup D_1(X_{i_2}) \cup D_1(X_{i_3})$.

Finally, it follows from Observation 2 that, for all $i, j$, $D \not\subseteq D_1(X_i) \cup D_1(X_j)$. Nevertheless, we prove that only two points of $V_n$ are needed to cover $V_n$; with asymptotic probability one, there are two points $X_i, X_j$ in $V_n$ such that $V_n \subseteq D_1(X_i) \cup D_1(X_j)$.

**2. Coverage by one point**

In this section we prove a general coverage result which holds for any dimension $m \geq 2$. Let $d_m(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the Euclidean distance in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Suppose that $X_1, X_2, X_3, \ldots$ is an infinite sequence of random points chosen independently from a uniform distribution in a unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^m$. We say that $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ covers $V_n = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\}$ if $d_m(x, X_i) < 1$
for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Call $X_n$ a dominator iff $X_n$ covers $V_n$.

We prove:

**Theorem 1.** With asymptotic probability one, no point in $V_n$ will cover all of $V_n$.

**Proof.** For positive real numbers $r$ and positive integers $m \geq 2$, let $\mu_m(r)$ be the volume of a ball of radius $r$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$, i.e

$$\mu_m(r) = r^m \mu_m(1) = \frac{\pi^{m/2} r^m}{\Gamma(m/2 + 1)}.$$

Let $L(r)$ denote the volume of the intersection of two unit balls in $\mathbb{R}^m$ whose centers are at distance $r$ from each other. If the distance from the point $X_n$ to the origin is $r$, then the conditional probability that the $i$th point $X_i$ is within distance one of $X_n$ is $\frac{L(r)}{\mu_m(1)}$. The distance between the origin and the random point $X_n$ is a random variable with density $f(r) = \frac{\mu_m(r)}{\mu_m(1)} = mr^{m-1}$. Hence

$$\Pr(X_n \text{ is a dominator}) = \int_0^1 f(r) \left( \frac{L(r)}{\mu_m(1)} \right)^{n-1} dr.$$

We split the integral into two. Let $\xi = \frac{4(\log n)\mu_m(1)}{(n-1)\mu_m(1)}$. Then

$$\Pr(X_n \text{ is a dominator}) = I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = m \int_0^\xi r^{m-1} \left( \frac{L(r)}{\mu_m(1)} \right)^{n-1} dr$$

and

$$I_2 = m \int_\xi^1 r^{m-1} \left( \frac{L(r)}{\mu_m(1)} \right)^{n-1} dr.$$

For the first piece, we use the trivial estimate $\frac{L(r)}{\mu_m(1)} \leq 1$: for $m \geq 2$,

$$I_1 \leq m \int_0^\xi r^{m-1} dr = \frac{\xi^m}{m} = O\left(\frac{\log^2 n}{n^2}\right). \tag{1}$$
To estimate $I_2$, we use the following “well-known” formula for $L(r)$:

$$L(r) = 2 \int_{r/2}^{1} \mu_{m-1}(\sqrt{1-x^2}) dx = 2 \mu_{m-1}(1) \int_{r/2}^{1} (1-x^2)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} dx. \quad (2)$$

It is intuitively obvious that $L(r)$ is decreasing, and this is easily confirmed by differentiating the right side of (2) to obtain

$$L'(r) = -\mu_{m-1}(1) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{4}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \leq 0 \quad (3)$$

for $0 \leq r \leq 1$. Since $L(r) \leq L(\xi)$ for all $r \geq \xi$, and since $f$ is a density function, we have

$$I_2 \leq \left(\frac{L(\xi)}{\mu_m(1)}\right)^{-1} \int_{\xi}^{1} f(r) dr \leq \left(\frac{L(\xi)}{\mu_m(1)}\right)^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

To estimate the right side of (4), note that it follows from (3) that there is some $0 < c_\xi < \xi$ such that

$$L(\xi) = L(0) + L'(c_\xi) \xi = \mu_m(1) - \mu_{m-1}(1) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{4}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \cdot \xi. \quad (5)$$

Since $0 < c_\xi < \xi = o(1)$, we have $(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{4})^{\frac{m-1}{2}} > \frac{1}{2}$ for all sufficiently large $n$. So it follows from (5) that

$$L(\xi) \leq \mu_m(1) - \frac{\mu_{m-1}(1)\xi}{2} \quad (6)$$

for all sufficiently large $n$. Putting (6) back into the right side of (4), we get

$$I_2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{\xi\mu_{m-1}(1)}{2\mu_m(1)}\right)^{-1} = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right). \quad (7)$$

Combining our estimates (1) and (7) for $I_1$ and $I_2$ respectively, we conclude that, for some positive constant $c$, and all sufficiently large $n$, $\Pr(X_n \text{is a dominator}) < \frac{c \log^2 n}{n^2}$. Finally, the $X_i$’s are identically distributed, so for $1 \leq i < n$, the probability that $X_i$ covers $V_n$ is equal to the probability that $X_n$ is a dominator. Therefore, by Boole’s inequality, the probability that one of the $X_i$’s in $V_n$ covers all of $V_n$ is at most $\frac{c \log^2 n}{n}$. \hfill \Box

Remark 1. A stronger statement than Theorem 1 is

$$\Pr(\{\text{for infinitely many } n, V_n \text{ is covered by one of its members}\}) = 0. \quad (8)$$
We thank a thorough referee for the following argument. Define the events $E_n = \{X_n \text{is a dominator}\}$ and $F_n = \{d_m(X_n, X_k) > 1 \text{ for some } k > n\}$. Also define $G = E^c \cap F_1 \cap F_2 \cap F_3 \cdots$. On $G$, $V_n$ is covered by one of its members for at most finitely many $n$. In the proof of Theorem 1, we showed that $\Pr(E_n) \leq c \log^2 n$. Therefore $\Pr(E^c) = 1$. Since no $x \neq o$ can cover the sample space, we have $\Pr(F_n) = 1$ for all $n$. Hence $\Pr(G) = 1$.

3. A Geometric Lemma

The remaining results in this paper are proved under the assumption that the dimension $m = 2$. Recall Observation 2: a unit disk centered at a point $o$ cannot be completely covered with two unit disks having centers at points other than $o$: $D_1(o) \not\subseteq D_1(q) \cup D_1(u)$ for $q \neq o \neq u$. The purpose of this section is to prove Lemma 1, which provides an upper bound for the area of the uncovered region $D_1(o) \cap (D_1(q) \cup D_1(u))^c$. A heuristic indication of this lemma’s significance is this: the smaller the uncovered region is, the more likely it is that none of the random points will fall in that uncovered region. If no random points fall in the uncovered region, then $q$ and $u$ cover $V_n$.

Some notation is needed to state Lemma 1. For any $r > 0$, and any $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let $\partial D_r(v)$ be the circle of radius $r$ that bounds the open disk $D_r(v)$. Fix $b \geq 3$, and define

$$L_b = \lfloor b^{1/3}(\log b)^2 \rfloor,$$

$$\delta_b = \frac{1}{b^{1/3} \log b},$$

and

$$\theta_b = \pi/L_b.$$

We are essentially going to partition $D_{\delta_b(o)}$ into $2L_b$ sectors as follows. For integers $i$ such that $0 \leq i < L_b$, let $Q_i$ be the sector consisting of those points $(x, y) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ whose polar coordinates satisfy $0 < r \leq \delta_b$ and $(i-\frac{1}{2})\theta_b \leq \theta \leq (i+\frac{1}{2})\theta_b$. Similarly let $U_i$ consist of the points with $0 < r \leq \delta_b$ and $(i-\frac{1}{2})\theta_b \leq \theta - \pi \leq (i+\frac{1}{2})\theta_b$. Note that the sectors $Q_i$ and $U_i$ are located symmetrically with respect to $o$. Let

---

It is not strictly correct to call this a partition of $D_{\delta_b(o)}$ since the origin was omitted, the bounding circle was included, and some pairs of sectors have a non-empty intersection (with zero area).
\(q_i \in Q_i\) and \(\tilde{u}_i \in U_i\) be the extreme points whose polar coordinates are respectively \((\delta_b, (i - \frac{1}{2})\theta_b)\) and \((\delta_b, (i + \frac{1}{2})\theta_b + \pi)\). Finally, for any points \(u, w \in D_1(o)\), let \(A(u, w)\) denote the area of \((D_1(u) \cup D_1(w))^c \cap D_1(o)\), i.e. the area of the region in \(D_1(o)\) that is not covered by \(D_1(u) \cup D_1(w)\). The main result in this section is

**Lemma 1.** There is a uniform constant \(C > 0\) (independent of the parameter \(b\)) such that, for \(0 \leq i < L_b\), and for all \(q_i \in Q_i, u_i \in U_i\), we have \(A(q_i, u_i) \leq A(\tilde{q}_i, \tilde{u}_i) \leq \frac{C}{\log b}\).

We state four facts which together imply Lemma 1. For the first three facts, proofs have been omitted because they are obvious geometrically once they are understood.

For the first fact, we observe that for any \(q, u \in D_1(o)\), the omitted area \(A(q, u)\) increases if we move one (or both) of the two points \(q\) and \(u\) away from the origin along a radial line.

**Fact 1.** Let \(q, q'\) and \(u, u'\) be four points in \(D_1(o)\) such that \(q\) lies on the line segment \(o, q'\) and \(u\) lies on the line segment \(o, u'\). Then \(A(q, u') \geq A(q, u)\).

**Fact 2.** Suppose that \(p, q \in \mathbb{R}^2\) are such that \(d_2(p, q) < 2\). Let \(a, b\) be the two points where the circles \(\partial D_1(p), \partial D_1(q)\) intersect. Then, \(a, b \perp \overline{p, q}\), and the two line segments \(\overline{a, b}\) and \(\overline{p, q}\) intersect at their midpoints.

**Fact 3.** Let \(o_1, o_2\) be two points on the circle \(x^2 + y^2 = \delta_b^2\). Then, \(A(o_1, o_2)\) is a decreasing function of \(\angle o_1 o o_2\).

**Fact 4.** Uniformly for \(0 \leq i < L_b\), we have \(A(\tilde{q}_i, \tilde{u}_i) = O(\frac{1}{\log^2 b})\).

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, let \(i = 0\). To simplify notation, define \(x_b = \delta_b \cos\left(-\frac{1}{2}\theta_b\right), y_b = \delta_b \sin\left(-\frac{1}{2}\theta_b\right)\). Let \((\xi, \eta)\) be the point in the first quadrant where the circles \(x^2 + y^2 = 1\) and \((x - x_b)^2 + (y - y_b)^2 = 1\) meet. Then

\[
A(\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{u}_0) \leq 4 \int_0^\xi \sqrt{1 - x^2} - (y_b + \sqrt{1 - (x - x_b)^2}) \, dx
\]

\[
= -4y_b \xi + 4 \int_0^\xi \frac{-2xx_b + x_b^2}{\sqrt{1 - x^2} + \sqrt{1 - (x - x_b)^2}} \, dx
\]

Hence we have

\[
A(\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{u}_0) = O(\xi y_b) + O(x_b \xi^2) + O(x_b^2 \xi) \quad (12)
\]
Note that \( x_b^2 + y_b^2 = \delta_b^2 = \frac{1}{b^{2/3} \log^2 b} \), that \( \xi^2 + \eta^2 = 1 \), that \( (\xi - x_b)^2 + (\eta - y_b)^2 = 1 \), that \( x_b = \delta_b (1 + O(\theta_b^2)) \), and that \( y_b = \frac{-\delta_x}{2} (1 + O(\theta_b^2)) \). Combining these equations, we get \( \xi = O(\delta_b) \). Putting these estimates back into (12), we get

\[
A(\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{u}_0) = O\left( \frac{1}{b \log^3 b} \right).
\]

\( \square \)

4. Two Point Dominating Sets

Recall that dimension \( m = 2 \). In this section we consider the problem of covering the set \( V_n = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\} \), where the \( X_i \)'s are chosen independently and uniformly randomly from the punctured disk \( D = D_1(o) - \{o\} \), by two points \( X_i, X_j \in V_n \). Assume \( n \geq 3 \), and recall the definitions for \( L_n, U_i, \) and \( Q_i \) in the previous section (with \( b = n \)). For \( 0 \leq i < L_n \), let \( N(Q_i), N(U_i) \) respectively be the number points in \( V_n \) that lie in \( Q_i \) and \( U_i \). Let \( \tau_n = \sum_{I=0}^{L_n-1} I_i \) where the indicator variable \( I_i = 1 \) if and only if \( N(U_i) = N(Q_i) = 1 \). (Remark: We consider the event \( \{N(U_i) = N(Q_i) = 1\} \) instead of the event \( \{N(U_i) \geq 1, N(Q_i) \geq 1\} \) because it simplifies a conditioning argument later.)

**Lemma 2.** \( \Pr \left( \tau_n < \frac{n^{1/3}}{\log^{1/3} n} \right) = O\left( \frac{\log n}{n^{1/3}} \right) \)

**Proof.** For \( 0 \leq i < L_n \), let

\[
p = \frac{\text{Area}(Q_i)}{\text{Area}(D_1(o))} = \delta_n^2/2L_n = \frac{1}{2n \log^2 n} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{n^{1/3} \log^2 n} \right) \right).
\]

Then

\[
E(I_i) = n(n - 1)p^2(1 - 2p)^{n-2}, \tag{13}
\]

and

\[
E(\tau_n) = L_nn(n - 1)p^2(1 - 2p)^{n-2} = \frac{n^{1/3}}{4(\log n)^6} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{n^{1/3} (\log n)^2} \right) \right).
\]

Similarly, for \( 0 \leq i, j < L_n \) such that \( i \neq j \),

\[
E(I_i I_j) = n(n - 1)(n - 2)(n - 3)p^4(1 - 4p)^{n-4}. \tag{14}
\]

Since \( \tau_n = \sum_{i=0}^{L_n-1} I_i \), and the \( I_i \)'s are identically distributed, we have

\[
\text{Var}(\tau_n) = L_n(L_n - 1)E(I_i I_j) + L_nE(I_1) - (E(\tau))^2.
\]
Combining this identity with the expression for $E(I_i)$ in (13), the expression for $E(I_i I_j)$ in (14), and the definitions for $L_n$, $\delta_n$ and $p$, we get

$$\text{Var}(\tau_n) = E(\tau_n) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^8}\right)\right).$$

The lemma now follows by Chebyshev’s inequality. $\square$

**Theorem 2.** There is a constant $c > 0$ such that, with probability greater than $1 - \frac{c}{(\log n)^2}$, there are two points of $V_n$ that cover $V_n$.

**Proof.** Let $T_n = \{i : 0 \leq i \leq L \text{ and } N(Q_i) = N(U_i) = 1\}$. If $T_n \neq \emptyset$, define $Y_n = \min T_n$ to be the smallest of the indices in $T_n$; otherwise, if $T_n = \emptyset$, set $Y_n = -1$.

Define the indicator random variable $W_n$ as follows: $W_n = 1$ if and only if both the following conditions are all satisfied:

- $\tau_n \neq 0$, i.e. $T_n = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{\tau_n}\}$ for some $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{\tau_n}$
- The two points in $Q_i \cup U_i$ cover $V_n$.

Define $Z_n$ to be set of points in $V_n$ that lie within distance $\delta_n = \frac{1}{n^{1/3} \log n}$ of the origin, and let $Z_n$ be the number of these points. Also let $\beta_n = \frac{2^{n^{1/3}}}{(\log n)^2}$. Then

$$\Pr(W_n = 0) \leq \Pr(W_n = 0, \tau_n \neq 0, Z_n \leq \beta_n) + \Pr(\tau_n = 0) + \Pr(Z_n > \beta_n).$$

Note that $Z_n$ has a binomial distribution: $Z_n \sim \text{Bin}(n, \delta_n^d)$. Therefore, by Chernoff’s inequality, $\Pr(Z_n \geq \beta_n) \leq \exp(-\beta_n/8)$. By Lemma 2,

$$\Pr(\tau_n = 0) = O\left(\frac{\log^6 n}{n^{1/3}}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\Pr(W_n = 0) \leq \Pr(W_n = 0, \tau_n \neq 0, Z_n \leq \beta_n) + O\left(\frac{\log^6 n}{n^{1/3}}\right). \quad (15)$$

Next we decompose the first term on the right side of (15) according to the value of $Y_n$.

$$\Pr(W_n = 0, \tau_n \neq 0, Z_n \leq \beta_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{L_n - 1} \Pr(W_n = 0|Y_n = k, \tau_n \neq 0, Z_n \leq \beta_n)\Pr(Y_n = k, Z_n \leq \beta)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{L_n - 1} \Pr(W_n = 0|Y_n = k, Z_n \leq \beta_n)\Pr(Y_n = k, Z_n \leq \beta_n). \quad (16)$$

We have

$$\Pr(W_n = 0|Y_n = k, Z_n \leq \beta_n) = \sum_S \Pr(W_n = 0|Z_n = S, Y_n = k)\Pr(Z_n = S|Y_n = k, Z_n \leq \beta_n),$$
where the sum is over subsets $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $2 \leq |S| \leq \beta_n$. It is enough to find a lower bound for $\Pr(W_n = 1|Z_n = S, Y_n = k)$.

To simplify notation, let $\gamma = A(\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{r}_0)$, and recall that $\gamma = O\left(\frac{1}{n \log n}\right)$. In addition, define $|D_{\delta_n}(o)| = \frac{\pi}{n^{2/3} \log n}$ to be the area of the disk $D_{\delta_n}(o)$ An important observation is that, once we have specified $n - |S|$ = the number of points that fall outside $D_{\delta_n}(o)$, the locations in $D_{\delta_n}(o)^c$ of these $n - |S|$ points are independent of the locations of the $|S|$ points in $D_{\delta_n}(o)$. Hence

$$\Pr(W_n = 1|Z_n = S, Y_n = k) \geq \left(1 - \frac{|D_{\delta_n}(o)|}{\pi} - \frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{n-|S|}$$

for some constants $C$ and $C'$ which are independent of $Z_n$ and $Y_n$. Hence

$$\Pr(W_n = 0) \leq \frac{c}{(\log n)^3}$$

for some positive constant $c$ that does not depend on $n$. \qed

We note that the result obtained in Theorem 2 depends on a delicate trade-off: We must choose $\delta_n$ small enough and $L_n$ large enough to guarantee that for any $q \in Q_i$ and any $u \in U_i$, where $(Q_i, U_i)$ is a pair of opposite sectors of $D_{\delta_n}(o)$, there is high probability that none of the points $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ lie in the ‘uncovered’ region $(D_1(q) \cup D_1(r))^c \cap D_1(o)$. On the other hand, $\delta_n$ must not be so small or $L_n$ so large that we cannot find (with high probability) some pair of opposite sectors $(Q_i, U_i)$ such that there is some $X_j \in Q_i$ and $X_k \in U_i$.

We end this section with an observation that is not needed in this paper, but is worth mentioning because of its relevance in applications [7]. It is implicit in the proof of Theorem 2 that, with asymptotic probability one, the two covering points can be chosen in such way that the distance between them is less than one. In the language of graph theory, we say the two points are a connected dominating set for the random unit disk graph with vertices $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$. 
5. Other densities

It is not difficult to see that our conclusions do not hold for arbitrary densities. In particular, the following is an example of a density for which two points do not suffice. Choose a positive number \( r \) such that \( 1 + 2r < \sqrt{3} \). For \( j = 0, 1, 2 \) let \( z_j = (\cos(\frac{2\pi j}{3}), \sin(\frac{2\pi j}{3})) \), and let \( O_j = D_r(z_j) \cap D_1(o) \) be the set of points in the unit disk whose distance from \( z_j \) is less than \( r \). Let \( M = \text{Area}(O_j) \) be the common area of these three regions, and define \( f(x, y) = \frac{1}{3M} \) if \((x, y) \in O_j \) for some \( j \) (and \( f(x, y) = 0 \) otherwise.) With asymptotic probability one, each of the three regions contains at least one of the random points. A point in \( O_j \) cannot cover a point in \( O_i \) if \( i \neq j \) because the distance between two such points is more than \( \sqrt{3} - 2r > 1 \). Therefore, with asymptotic probability one, three points are required.

We have not been able to characterize the densities \( f \) for which two points do in fact suffice. We conjecture that a sufficient condition is for \( f \) to be radially symmetric and weakly decreasing as a function of the distance to the origin. In other words, in polar coordinates \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \leq 0 \) and \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} = 0 \).

6. Final Comments

The problems in this paper originated in the context of mathematical models for wireless networks[9],[6],[2]. For that particular application, dimensions \( m = 2 \) (see [1]) and \( m = 3 \) (see [3],[4]) are the only ones where the problems make sense. Nevertheless, we believe it is a very natural and interesting mathematical question to consider an arbitrary fixed dimension \( m \): for a random set of points \( V_n \) in the unit ball in \( \mathbb{R}^m \), how many points of \( V_n \) are needed to cover \( V_n \)? We proved in section 2 of this paper that, in general, one point is not enough. Our main result answers the question only for dimension \( m = 2 \); when \( m = 2 \), two points suffice. We did prove in [6] that, when \( m = 3 \), the probability that there does not exist a 4-point covering set is exponentially small. Therefore, for \( m = 3 \), the smallest covering set consists of either 2, 3, or 4 points (with asymptotic probability one as \( n \to \infty \)). Limited simulations by Patricia Stamets suggest that 2 or 3 points suffice when \( m = 3 \). However attempts to prove this got bogged down in complicated calculations. We conjecture that, in general, \( m \) points suffice. But we have no idea how to handle this general case.
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