

## Cholesky Factorization

Nicholas J. Higham

November 2008

MIMS EPrint: **2008.116**

Manchester Institute for Mathematical Sciences  
School of Mathematics

The University of Manchester

Reports available from: <http://www.manchester.ac.uk/mims/eprints>

And by contacting: The MIMS Secretary  
School of Mathematics  
The University of Manchester  
Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

ISSN 1749-9097

# Cholesky Factorization\*

Nicholas J. Higham†

November 28, 2008

## Abstract

This article, aimed at a general audience of computational scientists, surveys the Cholesky factorization for symmetric positive definite matrices, covering algorithms for computing it, the numerical stability of the algorithms, and updating and downdating of the factorization. Cholesky factorization with pivoting for semidefinite matrices is also treated.

**Keywords:** Cholesky factorization, Cholesky decomposition, symmetric matrix, positive definite matrix, positive semidefinite matrix, complete pivoting, partitioned algorithm, level 3 BLAS, downdating, updating, stability, rounding error analysis

**AMS subject classifications.** 65F05, 15A23

## Introduction

A symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is positive definite if the quadratic form  $x^T A x$  is positive for all nonzero vectors  $x$  or, equivalently, if all the eigenvalues of  $A$  are positive. Positive definite matrices have many important properties, not least that they can be expressed in the form  $A = X^T X$  for a nonsingular matrix  $X$ . The Cholesky factorization is a particular form of this factorization in which  $X$  is upper triangular with positive diagonal elements; it is usually written  $A = R^T R$  or  $A = L L^T$  and it is unique. In the case of a scalar ( $n = 1$ ), the

---

\*For Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics

†School of Mathematics, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK (higham@ma.man.ac.uk, <http://www.ma.man.ac.uk/~higham>)

Cholesky factor  $R$  is just the positive square root of  $A$ . However,  $R$  should in general not be confused with the square roots of  $A$ , which are the matrices  $Y$  such that  $A = Y^2$ , among which there is a unique symmetric positive definite square root, denoted  $A^{1/2}$  [9, Sec. 1.7].

The Cholesky factorization (sometimes called the Cholesky decomposition) is named after André-Louis Cholesky (1875–1918), a French military officer involved in geodesy [3]. It is commonly used to solve the normal equations  $A^T A x = A^T b$  that characterize the least squares solution to the overdetermined linear system  $A x = b$ .

A variant of Cholesky factorization is the factorization  $A = LDL^T$ , where  $L$  is unit lower triangular (that is, has unit diagonal) and  $D$  is diagonal. This factorization exists and is unique for definite matrices. If  $D$  is allowed to have nonpositive diagonal entries the factorization exists for some (but not all) indefinite matrices. When  $A$  is positive definite the Cholesky factor is given by  $R = D^{1/2} L^T$ .

## Computation

The Cholesky factorization can be computed by a form of Gaussian elimination that takes advantage of the symmetry and definiteness. Equating  $(i, j)$  elements in the equation  $A = R^T R$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} j = i : \quad a_{ii} &= \sum_{k=1}^i r_{ki}^2, \\ j > i : \quad a_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^i r_{ki} r_{kj}. \end{aligned}$$

These equations can be solved to yield  $R$  a column at a time, according to the following algorithm:

```

for  $j = 1:n$ 
  for  $i = 1:j - 1$ 
     $r_{ij} = (a_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_{ki} r_{kj}) / r_{ii}$ 
  end
   $r_{jj} = (a_{jj} - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} r_{kj}^2)^{1/2}$ 
end

```

The positive definiteness of  $A$  guarantees that the argument of the square root in this algorithm is always positive and hence that  $R$  has a real, positive

diagonal. The algorithm requires  $n^3/3+O(n^2)$  flops and  $n$  square roots, where a flop is any of the four elementary scalar arithmetic operations  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $*$ ,  $/$ .

The algorithm above is just one of many ways of arranging Cholesky factorization, and can be identified as the “jik” form based on the ordering of the indices of the three nested loops. There are five other orderings, yielding algorithms that are mathematically equivalent but that have quite different efficiency for large dimensions depending on the computing environment, by which we mean both the programming language and the hardware. In modern libraries such as LAPACK [1] the factorization is implemented in partitioned form, which introduces another level of looping in order to extract the best performance from the memory hierarchies of modern computers. To illustrate, we describe a partitioned Cholesky factorization algorithm. For a given block size  $r$ , write

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{12}^T & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11}^T & 0 \\ R_{12}^T & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where  $A_{11}$  and  $R_{11}$  are  $r \times r$ . One step of the algorithm consists of computing the Cholesky factorization  $A_{11} = R_{11}^T R_{11}$ , solving the multiple right-hand side triangular system  $R_{11}^T R_{12} = A_{12}$  for  $R_{12}$ , and then forming the Schur complement  $S = A_{22} - R_{12}^T R_{12}$ ; this procedure is repeated on  $S$ . This partitioned algorithm does precisely the same arithmetic operations as any other variant of Cholesky factorization, but it does the operations in an order that permits them to be expressed as matrix operations. The block operations defining  $R_{12}$  and  $S$  are level 3 BLAS operations [4], for which efficient computational kernels are available on most machines. In contrast, a *block* LDL<sup>T</sup> factorization (the most useful form of block factorization for a symmetric positive definite matrix) has the form  $A = LDL^T$ , where

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ L_{21} & I & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ L_{m1} & \dots & L_{m,m-1} & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \text{diag}(D_{ii}),$$

where the diagonal blocks  $D_{ii}$  are, in general, full matrices. This factorization is mathematically different from a Cholesky or LDL<sup>T</sup> factorization (in fact, for an indefinite matrix it may exist when the factorization with  $1 \times 1$  blocks does not). It is of most interest when  $A$  is block tridiagonal [8, Chap. 13].

Once a Cholesky factorization of  $A$  is available it is straightforward to solve a linear system  $Ax = b$ . The system is  $R^T R x = b$ , which can be solved in two steps, costing  $2n^2$  flops:

1. Solve the lower triangular system  $R^T y = b$ ,
2. Solve the upper triangular system  $R x = y$ .

## Numerical Stability

Rounding error analysis shows that Cholesky factorization has excellent numerical stability properties. We will state two results in terms of the vector 2-norm  $\|x\|_2 = (x^T x)^{1/2}$  and corresponding subordinate matrix norm  $\|A\|_2 = \max_{x \neq 0} \|Ax\|_2 / \|x\|_2$ , where for symmetric  $A$  we have  $\|A\|_2 = \max\{|\lambda_i| : \lambda_i \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A\}$ . If the factorization runs to completion in floating point arithmetic, with the argument of the square root always positive, then the computed  $\widehat{R}$  satisfies

$$\widehat{R}^T \widehat{R} = A + \Delta A_1, \quad \|\Delta A_1\|_2 \leq c_1 n^2 u \|A\|_2, \quad (2)$$

where a subscripted  $c$  denotes a constant of order 1 and  $u$  is the unit roundoff (or machine precision). Most modern computing environments use IEEE double precision arithmetic, for which  $u = 2^{-53} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-16}$ . Moreover, the computed solution  $\widehat{x}$  to  $Ax = b$  satisfies

$$(A + \Delta A_2) \widehat{x} = b, \quad \|\Delta A_2\|_2 \leq c_2 n^2 u \|A\|_2. \quad (3)$$

This is a backward error result that can be interpreted as saying that the computed solution  $\widehat{x}$  is the true solution to a slightly perturbed problem. The factorization is guaranteed to run to completion if  $c_3 n^{3/2} \kappa_2(A) u < 1$ , where  $\kappa_2(A) = \|A\|_2 \|A^{-1}\|_2 \geq 1$  is the matrix condition number with respect to inversion. By applying standard perturbation theory for linear systems to (3) a bound is obtained for the forward error:

$$\frac{\|x - \widehat{x}\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \leq \frac{c_2 n^2 \kappa_2(A) u}{1 - c_2 n^2 \kappa_2(A) u}.$$

The excellent numerical stability of Cholesky factorization is essentially due to the equality  $\|A\|_2 = \|R^T R\|_2 = \|R\|_2^2$ , which guarantees that  $R$  is of bounded norm relative to  $A$ . For proofs of these results and more refined error bounds see [8, Chap. 10].

## Semidefinite Matrices

A symmetric matrix  $A$  is positive semidefinite if the quadratic form  $x^T Ax$  is nonnegative for all  $x$ ; thus  $A$  may be singular. For such matrices a Cholesky factorization  $A = R^T R$  exists, now with  $R$  possibly having some zero elements on the diagonal, but the diagonal of  $R$  may not display the rank of  $A$ . For example,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = R^T R,$$

and  $A$  has rank 2 but  $R$  has only one nonzero diagonal element. However, with  $P$  the permutation matrix comprising the identity matrix with its columns in reverse order,  $P^T A P = R_1^T R_1$ , where

$$R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

More generally, any symmetric positive semidefinite  $A$  has a factorization

$$P^T A P = R^T R, \quad R = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $P$  is a permutation matrix,  $R_{11}$  is  $r \times r$  upper triangular with positive diagonal elements, and  $\text{rank}(A) = r$ . This factorization is produced by using complete pivoting, which at each stage permutes the largest diagonal element in the active submatrix into the pivot position. The following algorithm implements Cholesky factorization with complete pivoting and overwrites the upper triangle of  $A$  with  $R$ . It is a “kji” form of the algorithm.

```

Set  $p_i = 1, i = 1:n$ .
for  $k = 1:n$ 
  Find  $s$  such that  $a_{ss} = \max_{k \leq i \leq n} a_{ii}$ .
  Swap rows and columns  $k$  and  $s$  of  $A$  and swap  $p_k$  and  $p_s$ .
   $a_{kk} = \sqrt{a_{kk}}$ 
  for  $j = k + 1:n$ 
     $a_{kj} = a_{kj} / a_{kk}$ 
  end
end

```

```

for  $j = k + 1:n$ 
  for  $i = k + 1:j$ 
     $a_{ij} = a_{ij} - a_{ki}a_{kj}$ 
  end
end
end
end

```

Set  $P$  to the matrix whose  $j$ th column is the  $p_j$ th column of  $I$ .

An efficient implementation of this algorithm that uses level 3 BLAS [6] is available in LAPACK Version 3.2. Complete pivoting produces a matrix  $R$  that satisfies the inequalities

$$r_{kk}^2 \geq \sum_{i=k}^{\min(j,r)} r_{ij}^2, \quad j = k + 1:n, \quad k = 1:r,$$

which imply  $r_{11} \geq r_{22} \geq \dots \geq r_{nn}$ .

An important use of Cholesky factorization is for testing whether a symmetric matrix is positive definite. The test is simply to run the Cholesky factorization algorithm and declare the matrix positive definite if the algorithm completes without encountering any negative or zero pivots and not positive definite otherwise. This test is much faster than computing all the eigenvalues of  $A$  and it can be shown to be numerically stable: the answer is correct for a matrix  $A + \Delta A$  with  $\Delta A$  satisfying (2) [7]. When an attempted Cholesky factorization breaks down with a nonpositive pivot it is sometimes useful to compute a vector  $p$  such that  $p^T A p \leq 0$ . In optimization, when  $A$  is the Hessian of an underlying function to be minimized,  $p$  is termed a direction of negative curvature. Such a  $p$  is the first column of the matrix

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11}^{-1} R_{12} \\ -I \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $[R_{11} \ R_{12}]$  is the partially computed Cholesky factor, and this choice makes  $p^T A p$  equal to the next pivot, which is nonpositive by assumption. This choice of  $p$  is not necessarily the best that can be obtained from Cholesky factorization, either in terms of producing a small value of  $p^T A p$  or in terms of the effects of rounding errors on the computation of  $p$ . Indeed this is a situation where Cholesky factorization with complete pivoting can profitably be used. For more details see [5].

## Updating and Downdating

In some applications it is necessary to modify a Cholesky factorization  $A = R^T R$  after a rank 1 change to the matrix  $A$ . Specifically, given a vector  $x$  such that  $A - xx^T$  is positive definite we may need to compute  $\tilde{R}$  such that  $A - xx^T = \tilde{R}^T \tilde{R}$  (the downdating problem), or given a vector  $y$  we may need to compute  $\tilde{R}$  such that  $A + yy^T = \tilde{R}^T \tilde{R}$ . For the updating problem write

$$A + yy^T = R^T R + yy^T = \begin{bmatrix} R^T & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ y^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R^T & y \end{bmatrix} Q^T \cdot Q \begin{bmatrix} R \\ y^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q^T Q = I.$$

We aim to use the orthogonal matrix  $Q$  to restore triangularity; thus, for  $n = 3$ , for example, we want  $Q$  to effect, pictorially,

$$Q \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\times$  denotes a nonzero element. This can be achieved by taking  $Q$  as a product of suitably chosen Givens rotations. The downdating problem is more delicate because of possible cancellation in removing  $xx^T$  from  $A$ , and several methods are available, all more complicated than the updating procedure outlined above. For more on updating and downdating see [2, Sec. 3.3].

## References

- [1] E. Anderson, Z. Bai, C. H. Bischof, S. Blackford, J. W. Demmel, J. J. Dongarra, J. J. Du Croz, A. Greenbaum, S. J. Hammarling, A. McKenney, and D. C. Sorensen. *LAPACK Users' Guide*. Third edition, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1999. xxvi+407 pp. ISBN 0-89871-447-8.
- [2] Åke Björck. *Numerical Methods for Least Squares Problems*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1996. xvii+408 pp. ISBN 0-89871-360-9.
- [3] Claude Brezinski. The life and work of André Cholesky. *Numer. Algorithms*, 43:279–288, 2006.



- [4] J. J. Dongarra, J. J. Du Croz, I. S. Duff, and S. J. Hammarling. A set of Level 3 basic linear algebra subprograms. *ACM Trans. Math. Software*, 16:1–17, 1990.
- [5] Chun-Hua Guo, Nicholas J. Higham, and Françoise Tisseur. An improved arc algorithm for detecting definite Hermitian pairs. MIMS EPrint 2008.115, Manchester Institute for Mathematical Sciences, The University of Manchester, UK, November 2008. 22 pp.
- [6] Sven Hammarling, Nicholas J. Higham, and Craig Lucas. LAPACK-style codes for pivoted Cholesky and QR updating. In *Applied Parallel Computing. State of the Art in Scientific Computing. 8th International Workshop, PARA 2006*, Bo Kågström, Erik Elmroth, Jack Dongarra, and Jerzy Waśniewski, editors, number 4699 in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007, pages 137–146.
- [7] Nicholas J. Higham. Computing a nearest symmetric positive semidefinite matrix. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 103:103–118, 1988.
- [8] Nicholas J. Higham. *Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms*. Second edition, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2002. xxx+680 pp. ISBN 0-89871-521-0.
- [9] Nicholas J. Higham. *Functions of Matrices: Theory and Computation*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2008. xx+425 pp. ISBN 978-0-898716-46-7.